Contractor Past-Performance Evaluation

I. INSTRUCTIONS:

The questions contained in Section IV of this document are intended to be used to evaluate the performance record of an Offeror submitting a proposal in response to this RFP. Please complete this Past Performance Evaluation and return to the specific contractor that requested you to provide this information to the government.

II. BACKGROUND:

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) requires engineering services to conduct certain operation inspections of hydropower projects at 10-15 dams with low-hazard potential classifications. This is a one-year pilot program.

ANCILLARY INFORMATION:

1.	Name Of Person Completing This Form	
2.	Title/Job Function	
3.	Agency/Company Name and Address	
4.	Telephone Number	
5.	E-mail address	
	FAX Number	
7.	Date Evaluation Form Completed	
8.	Name of Offeror (include company name,	
	address, and project manager name)	
9.	Type of Contract (time & materials, etc.)	
9.	Type of Contract (time & materials, etc.)	
10.	. Period of Performance	
11.	. Brief description of work and objectives	
12.	. Was Offeror the prime contractor or a	
	subcontractor, If sub, identify prime	

EVALUATION QUESTIONS AND SUMMARY RATING:

Section IV has two subsections, Section A is an evaluation by specific categories and provides for comments. Section B is a summary of Section A and recommendation for future contracts. Please complete each section as indicated.

Section B is a summary of performance and recommendation for future contract services.

Section A. Evaluation Categories

Evaluation Scale

For each category identified in Section A, please rate the performance of the Offeror based on the following Evaluation Scale (adjust spacing based on needs):

	Evaluation Scarc.						
	Excellent Plus (EP)	Exceptionally high performance, including exceeding contract requirements.					
	Excellent (E)	Exceptional strength resulted in achieving all contract requirements					
	Good (G)	Effective performance with minor issues that did not impact achievement of all contract requirements.					
	Fair (F)	Performance supported achievement of most contract requirements.					
	Poor (P)	Contract requirements were not achieved because of failings in this performance element.					
\1 .	QualityE	EP E G F P					
	Comment on technical accuaspects of deliverable quali	uracy, appropriateness and thoroughness of analysis, other ity:					
A 2.	Cost ControlE	EP E G F P					
	0 4 4 0 4 4	1 11 4 4 11 1 1 1 4 1 4 6					

Comment on the Contractor's adherence to established budget, assignment of personnel of appropriate technical expertise, appropriate and efficient use of resources, accurate and complete billing, relationship of negotiated cost to actual cost, other aspects of cost-effectiveness:

A3. T	Cimeliness of	EP	E	G	F	P
					U	
_	Performance					
si a	Comment on Contractup, adherence and execution of montract administration.	to established easures to av	l schedule, i ert delay, o	dentificatio n-time subm	n of potentia	al delays, proposal verables, on-time
A4. R	Responsiveness	EP	E	G	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{U}}$	P
c		ks at project a	and technica	al levels, res	sponse to wo	OR instructions, ork scope changes, s, other aspects of
A5. A	Application of Re				_FP	U
o re	Comment on the C of requirements, and grain an	ontractor's kr d application	owledge of of guidanc	requirement e, other asp	nts and guida ects of cons	ance, fulfillment istency with
46 I	nnovation	EP	IF.	G	F P	Tĭ
C p	Comment on the Coroject implementates, and other asp	ontractor's ab tion, original	ility to devo	elop new str	rategies or a	pproaches to
C	Planning Comment on the C clan to changes in p	ontractor's ab	ility to deve	elop a comp		U roject plan, adjust
A8. S	staffing	EP	E	G_	F P	U
n p		echnical need o provide nee	s; availabili ded staffing	ty, continuit g during pea	ty, and perfo	

A9. C	Communications _	EP	E	G	F	P	U
C	Comment on the clari	ity and effect	civeness of	the Contracto	r's comm	unicati	on with
S	Contracting Officer/Cohedule and cost issued	ues, on routin	ne matters a	nd on proble			
C	orrespondence, and	other aspects	of commu	nications:			
A10.	Deliverables	EP	${f E}$	\mathbf{G}	${f F}$	P	U
C	comment on the clari						of the
C	contractor's written deliverable quality:	* * *					
A11.	Teamwork/Coope	eration/ Busi	iness Relat	ions			
		EP			F		
C	Comment on coopera	tion and coor	rdination w	ith Contracti	ng Office	r/COR	, other
C	ontractors, subcontra	actors, reviev	v team, and	others; effec	tive pro-a	active	
n	nanagement, flexibil	ity, effective	Contractor	-recommende	ed solutio	ns, unv	willingness
to	put in extra effort t	o get tasks co	ompleted; c	ther aspects	of teamw	ork and	1
C	ooperation:	-	_	-			

Section B. Summary Rating and Recommendation: (See #5 for explanation of rating scale.)

	EP (5)	E (4)	G (3)	F (2)	P (1)	U (()
a. Quality	-				<u></u>	
b. Cost Control						
c. Timeliness						
d. Responsiveness	-				<u></u>	
e. Application of Rqmts. and Guidance						
f. Innovation						
g. Planning						
h. Staffing						
i. Communications						
j. Deliverables						
k. Teamwork/Cooperation/					·	
Business Relations						
Overall Evaluation						
Overan Evaluation						
Additional Comments:						
V. Signatures: (Complete i	if mailed.)				
Evaluator:				Date:		